

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/810,063	03/26/2004		William Wold	INGN:106US	8527
32425	7590	01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGE 600 CONGR		WORSKI L.L.P.	WHITEMAN, BRIAN A		
SUITE 2400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	AUSTIN, TX 78701			1635	
			•	DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/810,063	WOLD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Whiteman	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
<i>'</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
• •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s)is/are objected to:  Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: Notice to Co.	atent Application (PTO-152)  mply w. Seq.					

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-49 are pending.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definition for nucleotide sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence Disclosures.

The sequence listed on page 23, line 14 of the instant specification is missing a corresponding SEQ ID NO:. The sequences has 10 defined nucleotides

A complete response to the instant election/restriction should include a response to the Notice to Comply Letter.

Claims 28-31 are withdrawn from the election/restriction because they lack antecedent basis for the term "said second therapy" and the examiner cannot determine what the claim depends from.

Claims 39 and 40 are withdrawn from the election/restriction because they lack antecedent basis for the term "The vector of claim 23" and the examiner cannot determine what the claim depends from.

If the response to the election/restriction includes an amendment to the claims with proper antecedent basis. Then, at that time, the examiner will determine whether or not the claims read on either the elected invention or a non-elected invention and if a further restriction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,063

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a replication-competent adenovirus vector comprising a tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) coding region and an ADP coding region, classifiable in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- II. Claims 18-22, drawn to an ex vivo method of inhibiting a hyperproliferative cell, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.21.
- III. Claims 23-27, 32-38, 41-47 and 49, drawn to an in vivo method of treating a subject with a hyperproliferative cell disorder, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.2.
- IV. Claim 48, drawn to a method of preventing cancer in a subject at risk thereof, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and Inventions II-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the adenovirus of group I can be used to make recombinant proteins as opposed to its use in treating cancer or preventing cancer. In addition, the product can be used in materially processes as set forth in Groups II, III, or IV.

Art Unit: 1635

Searching the inventions of Groups I, II, III, and IV together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of Group I, Group II and Groups III and IV have a separate search status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Moreover, in the instant case, the search for the adenovirus and the method of treating or preventing cancer using the adenovirus are not coextensive. Group I encompasses an adenovirus and the search for the method in Groups II-IV are not required for the search of Group I. Even if the adenovirus were known, the method in Groups II-IV, which uses the product, may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

Inventions II-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The instant specification does not disclose that these methods would be used together. The ex vivo method of inhibiting a hyperproliferative cell (group II), the in vivo method of treating a cancer in a subject (group III), and the method of preventing cancer in a subject at risk thereof (group IV) are all unrelated as they comprise distinct steps which demonstrates that each method has a different mode of operation. Moreover, the methodology necessary for treating or preventing differ significantly for each method. Therefore, each method is divergent in method steps. For these reasons, the inventions II, III, and IV are patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct steps require separate and distinct searches. The inventions of Groups II and Groups III and IV have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups II, III, and IV together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group listed above is not required for any other Group listed above and the search for each group is not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

It would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and consider patentability of all of the presently pending claims, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re* 

Art Unit: 1635

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

If applicant elects Group I applicants are further required to elect a species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: wherein the adenoviral vector lacks one or more coding regions for the 6.7K, gp19K, RIDalpha, RIDbeta, 14.7K proteins.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the Art Unit: 1635

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicant elects Group II applicants are further required to elect a species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: cancer cell is a lung cancer cell, a prostate cancer cell, a colon cancer cell, an ovarian cancer cell, a testicular cancer cell, a brain cancer cell, a stomach cancer cell, a uterine cancer cell, a breast cancer cell, an esophageal cancer cell, a head & neck cancer cell, a pancreatic cancer cell, a liver cancer cell, a kidney cancer cell, a skin cancer cell or a blood cancer cell..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 18 and 20 are generic.

If applicant elects Group III applicants are further required to elect a species from the following:

Page 8

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: cancer is lung cancer, prostate cancer, colon cancer, ovarian cancer, testicular cancer, brain cancer, stomach cancer, uterine cancer, breast cancer, esophageal cancer, head & neck cancer, pancreatic cancer, liver cancer, kidney cancer, skin cancer or blood cancer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 23 and 24 are generic.

If applicant elects Group III applicants are further required to elect a species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: wherein the adenoviral vector lacks one or more coding regions for the 6.7K, gp19K, RIDalpha, RIDbeta, 14.7K proteins.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 23 is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, acting SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (571) 272-0811.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Brian Whiteman

Brin Alter

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/810,063 Wold et al. **Notice to Comply** Examiner Art Unit B. Whiteman 1635 NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE **DISCLOSURES** Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set in the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)). The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s): ☑ 1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998). 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence" Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c). 3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e). 4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing." 5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d). 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e). 7. Other: Page 23 contains a sequence that is not listed in the CRF. **Applicant Must Provide:** An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing". An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment specifically directing its entry into the specification. A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

For Rules Interpretation, call (571) 272-2510

For CRF Submission Help, call (571) 272-2501/2583.

Patentin Software Program Support

Technical Assistance......703-287-0200

To Purchase Patentin Software......703-306-2600

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY